

CLARIFICATIONS

Question 1. Paragraphs 6 and 7 of the proposition mention that the decisions of the Sessions Court and the High Court, respectively, were given in the year 2014. However at the beginning of paragraph 6 it has been given that the accused was traced by the police only in December 2015 which is subsequent to the pronouncing of the judgment. There had been a doubt regarding the years as the charge sheet was filed only after the accused was traced and detained by the police i.e. in 2015 while the decisions have been given in 2014. Please clarify the same.

Answer 1. Please consider it as December, 2013.

Question 2. There had been a doubt regarding the meaning of this question. It is understood as “Whether the accused can make a plea of unsound mind as provided under Section 84 of the IPC?” Please clarify whether it is the correct interpretation.

Answer 2. Please refer to the amended Moot Proposition.

Question 3. In the moot proposition, the country name is used as ‘Pindia’ whereas the penal code is termed as “IPC- Indian Penal Code.”

Answer 3. Laws of ‘Pindia’ are in *pari-materia* with Laws of India.

Question 4. Clarify issue no. 4 whether pharmaceutical company is to be charged with both section 120A as well as section 107 (part 3).

Answer 4. No clarification required.

Question 5. Clarify, the dates in para 6, where baba has been acquitted on 16 May, 2014 and in para 7 date of filing the appeal is 14 July, 2014.

Answer 5. No clarification required.

Question 6. The judgement of Paristhan High Court against which the appeal has been filled has not been taken into the consideration, in any of the four issues on which the parties are supposed to argue.

Answer 6. Issues given in problem are suggestive any other issues are open to be added.

Question 7. Whether the sale deed between the Baba and Mr. P.C. was signed before the Murder of the Rajpuria family.

Answer 7. No clarification required.

Question 8. What is the source of information regarding the dead Rajpuria family?

Answer 8. No clarification required.

Question 9. In page 4 para 6 line 2 "*He was...tied in a deserted place*" and in page 5 para 6 line 22 "*as per...Whereabouts of the Rajpuria Family*" there seems to be a conflict regarding the confession given by Baba. Please clarify.

Answer 9. No clarification required.

Question 10. What is the date of filing of FIR and charge sheet against the prime accused Baba?

Answer 10. No clarification required.

Question 11. What are the details regarding the name of NGO and the relatives of Rajpuria family?

Answer 11. Name of NGO is Manav Sewa Sansthan and for the relatives of Rajpuria family no clarifications are required.

Question 12. Can the participating team restructure the issues on their convenience?

Answer 12. Yes.

Question 13. Whether the certificate of appeal has been issued by the High Court or not?

Answer 13. No clarification required.

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT WILL BE THE SOLE SOURCE OF CLARIFICATION OF MOOT PROPOSITION IN THE COURT ROOM.

Chief- Co-coordinator

2nd C.L. Agrawal National Memorial Moot Court Competition, 2018.